

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,166		09/17/2003	Chih-Chiang Yu	4392-0138P	4185
2292	759	90 03/17/2006	EXAM	INER	
BIRCH S		ART KOLASCH &	AMADIZ, RODNEY		
		CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		,		2675	•
				DATE MAILED: 03/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/664,166	YU, CHIH-CHIANG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rodney Amadiz	2675			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Se	eptember 2003.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	•				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/30/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 2675

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shimano et al. (U.S. Patent 6,845,005).

As to claim 1, Shimano et al. teaches a portable computer with a flat panel display, comprising: a base including a computer system and the flat panel display (Fig. 1, Display Unit 10, See Also Col. 4, lines 36-39); and a cover (Fig. 1, base unit 12), having a connection element pivotally connected to the base for protecting the base (Fig. 2a, Hinging Assembly), the cover including an input device to input data (Fig. 1, Keyboard 18); wherein the cover axially rotates around the connection element and protects the base (See Fig. 2a).

As to claim 2, Shimano et al. teaches, that when the cover is in a first position, the cover shelters a first portion of the base (See Fig. 2a and note position).

As to claim 3, Shimano et al. teaches the cover rotating 360 degrees from the first position to a second position, in this position the cover shelters a second portion of the base to protect the flat panel display (See Figs. 2a-2c and note the position of Fig. 2c).

As to claim 4, Shimano et al. teaches the connection element including a first axis and a second axis, the cover selectively rotates 180 degrees around the first axis and the second axis respectively (See Figs. 2a-2c and note Hinge A (32) and Hinge B (30)).

As to claim 7, Shimano et al. teaches the flat panel display being used to input data through handwriting (See Col. 4, lines 2-18).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimano et al. in view of Goodrich et al. (U.S. Patent 5,375,076).

As to claim 5, Shimano et al. does not teach a bracket connecting to the base. Examiner cites Goodrich et al. to teach a bracket connecting to the base (Goodrich et al.—Fig. 4, Reference Number 36); wherein as the base is disposed on a plane, the bracket supports the base making the base intersect the plane at an angle (Goodrich et al.—See Figs. 4, 9, 17 and 21). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a bracket as taught by Goodrich et al. in the portable computer taught by Shimano et al. so that the display may be readily visible by a user (Goodrich et al.—Col. 4, lines 9-11).

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimano et al. in view of Tran et al. (U.S. Patent 5,805,415).

As to claim 6, Shimano et al. does not teach the cover capable of being removed from the base. Examiner cites Tran et al. to teach portable computer that is capable of detaching the cover from the base (Tran et al.—See Figs. 7 and 8). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

Art Unit: 2675

provide a detachable cover as taught by Tran et al. in the portable computer taught by Shimano et al. so as to provide convenience in the choice of locations of the display when giving presentations (Tran et al.—Col. 2, lines 58-64).

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liao (USPGPUB 2004/0021681).

As to claim 8, Shimano et al. does not teach the input device including a flat panel keyboard. Examiner cites Liao to each a dual touch screen computer wherein the cover includes a sub-screen (Fig. 1, Reference Number 103 and 107) that is a touch-screen type flat panel display screen that allows a user to input data into the virtual keyboard by physically touching the surface (Liao, Pg. 2, ¶ 0009). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a flat panel keyboard as taught by Liao in the portable computer taught by Shimano et al. so that the input device may be more dynamic.

8. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shimano et al. in view of Pollitt (U.S. Patent 5,198,991).

As to claim 9, Shimano et al. does not teach the input device including a conductive-rubber keyboard. Examiner cites Pollitt to teach a portable computer including a rubber-conductive keyboard (Pollitt—Col. 6, lines 57-60). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a rubber-conductive keyboard as taught by Pollitt in the portable computer

Art Unit: 2675

taught by Shimano et al. so that contacts representative of manual activation of selected keys can be enabled (Pollitt—Col. 6, lines 57-60).

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Examiner cites the following references as pertinent to the disclosure due to their relevance with portable computers.

1 4114	Tang	U.S. Patent 5,987,704
--------	------	-----------------------

Van Brocklin et al. U.S. Patent 6,266,241

Yang et al. U.S. Patent 6,781,819

Adams USPGPUB 2001/0050658

Lin et al. USPGPUB 2004/0056843

Jackson III USPGPUB 2004/0155861

### Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney Amadiz whose telephone number is (571) 272-7762. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,166 Page 7

Art Unit: 2675

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.A. 2/24/06 Division 2629

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER